

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/509,418  | 07/11/2000     | DONALD J KOROPATNICK | PM 266291               | 3674             |
| 7   | 590 03/27/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| Todd L. Juneau<br>Nath & Associates PLLC<br>1030 Fifteenth Street, NW |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |                |                      | EPPS, JANET L           |                  |
| Sixth Floor<br>Washington, DC 20005-1503                              |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| washington, D   | 20003-1303     |                      | 1635                    | 10               |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002 | !                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/509,418 KOROPATNICK ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 1635 Janet L Epps, Ph.D.

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

| inerefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for ContinuExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | beu               |
|--|-------------------|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |                   |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |                   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   | n no              |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fb) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a gradual patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | under<br>forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |                   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |                   |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |                   |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |                   |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyin issues for appeal; and/or  | ng the            |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |                   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |                   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |                   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | ment              |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because:  | the               |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | 1                 |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |                   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |                   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |                   |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |                   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 11-12 remain rejected for the reasons of record set forth in the Official Action mailed 9-20-0  | <u>11</u> .       |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                   |
| 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |                   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |                   |
| 0. Other:  |                   |
|  |                   |
| SEAN McGARRY   |                   |
| Patent and Trademark Office  PRIMARY EXAMINER  |                   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/509,418

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have added new limitations to the instant claims, particulary: "8 to 50 nucleotides in length, and deoxyoligonucleotide to the instant claims. Additionally, Applicants have added new claims 13-14. These new limitations and new claims would require a new search, and would potentially raise new issues.